UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/543,029	07/21/2005	Emmanuel Legrand	047578/294906	9192	
826 A I STON & RI	826 7590 01/08/2008 ALSTON & BIRD LLP			EXAMINER	
BANK OF AM	ERICA PLAZA		PAYER, HWEI SIU CHOU		
	RYON STREET, SUIT , NC 28280-4000	E 4000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•		·	3724		
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/08/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			. 1
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
7		10/543,029	LEGRAND, EMMANUEL
·	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Hwei-Siu C. Payer	3724
Period fo	The MAÏLING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISSIDER IN THE MAILING DEPTH D	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		·	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 C	<u> October 2007</u> .	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowed	•	, *
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	on of Claims		·
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-14 and 16-24 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-14 and 16-24 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	• •
	on Papers	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
9)□ [·] 10)⊠ ·	The specification is objected to by the Examinor The drawing(s) filed on 24 October 2007 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ c drawing(s) be held in abeyar ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xammer. Note the attached	d Office Action of John F10-132.
12)⊠ <i>a</i>)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea see the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
2) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail [·] Date nformal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/543,029

Art Unit: 3724

Page 2

Detailed Action

The amendment filed on 10-24-2007 has been entered. Upon further

consideration, the allowable subject matter of claims 3 and 15 as indicated in the last

Office action has been withdrawn. Any inconvenience to the Applicant is regretted.

Claims Objection

Claims 3 and 10 are objected to because of the following informalities:

(1) In claim 3, the limitation of "in that the string passageway (112) is situated at a

given distance (D) from the axis of rotation(C) of the head, and" is redundant since such

limitation has already been included in claim 1 as amended.

(2) In claim 10, line 2, "string outlets" should read --exit regions-- (note line 3 of

claim 1).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

Application/Control Number: 10/543,029

Art Unit: 3724

Claims 1-4, 6-10, 13, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Ballas et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,054,992).

Page 3

Ballas et al. discloses a cutting head (see Fig.5) comprising, among other things, a rectilinear passageway (79) for a cutter string (77), at least one curved portion (73) for supporting the string (77, see column 10, lines 40-43), wherein the passageway (79) extends along an axis (i.e. a horizontal axis, Fig.5, not labeled) that is spaced a distance from an axis of rotation (defined by the bolt 70 seen in Fig.5) and the curved portion (73) presents a radius of curvature that is greater than the distance as claimed.

3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Ballas et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,054,992).

Ballas et al. discloses a cutting head (see Fig.5) comprising, among other things, a rectilinear passageway (79) for a cutter string (77), a curved portion (76B) for supporting the string (77, see column 11, lines 1-5), a secondary curved portion (76A), wherein the passageway (79) extends along an axis (i.e. a horizontal axis, Fig.5, not labeled) that is spaced a distance from an axis of rotation (defined by the bolt 70 seen in Fig.5) as claimed.

Claims Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/543,029

Art Unit: 3724

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 5 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ballas et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,054,992).

Ballas et al. shows the claimed invention expect the radius of curvature of the curved portion (76B) does not appear to be variable.

However, as evidenced by applicant's claims 4, 5, 16 and 17, the radius of curvature of the curved portion can be either constant or variable, and it appears the claimed "variable" radius of curvature has no criticality. Therefore, to have the curved portion of Ballas et al. present a radius of curvature that is variable as desired would have been obvious to one skilled in the art.

3. Claims 11, 12, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ballas et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,054,992) in view of Morabit et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,761,816).

Ballas et al. as set forth shows the claimed invention except the cross-section of the cutter string (77) is not polygonal.

However, cutter strings having a polygonal cross-section are well known in the art as evidenced by Morabit et al.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time this invention was made to select a well-known cross-sectional shape such as "polygonal" for the cutting string of Ballas et al.

Regarding claims 12 and 24, the claimed size of the cutter string is not patentably distinct over Ballas et al. as modified since the size of the cutter string

depends more upon the size of its associated string receiving passage than on any

inventive concept.

Remarks

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2 and 4-13 have been considered

but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Point of Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hwei-Siu C. Payer whose telephone number is 571-272-

4511. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00 am to

4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for

official communications and 571-273-4511 for proposed amendments.

H Payer

January 2, 2008

/Hwei-Siu C. Payer/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3724